DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY UNITED STATES ARMY GARRISON REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898-5000 RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION CONTROL NUMBER REC-_____-04

	W	WORK ORDER # TRACKING #	
	1.	1. TITLE:	
	2.	2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTI	ON:
•			
	3.	3. ANTICIPATED DATE OF PROPOSE	O ACTION:
	4.	4. IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT	THIS ACTION:
	EN	a. IS SUPPORTED BY THE EXISTINENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEME	NG ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT:;
	EN	ENTITLED: AND	DATED
		b. PROPOSED ACTION IS EXEMPT YES NO	FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF NEPA:
			VIOUSLY EXAMINED AND FOUND TO MEET is action is similar to actions previously examined EC.
-	5.	5. COORDINATION WITH OTHER AG	ENCIES: (LIST AGENCIES IF ANY)
•		Directorate of Public Works Directorate of Environment and Safety	

6. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT/IMPACTS OF PROPOSED ACTION: SEE APPENDIX A.

RECORD O	F E	INVIRON	MENTAL	CONSIDERATION	(CONTINUED)
CONTROL	NUM	IBER:	REC	-04	

- 7. SITE LOCATION MAP (8 1/2" BY 11") OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS AT APPENDIX B in a copy of the work order(s).
 - 8. CONCLUSION: THIS ACTION HAS BEEN EVALUATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART II 32 CFR 651 SECTION 651.29 (AR 200-2). IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THIS ACTION DOES NOT INDIVIDUALLY OR CUMULATIVELY HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT. NO EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST AS DEFINED IN SECTION 651.29 PARAGRAPH (b)(1) THROUGH (14). THERE WILL BE NO ENVIRONMENTALLY CONTROVERSIAL CHANGES TO EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS. THERE ARE NO CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH WOULD REQUIRE AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) OR AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS) UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA). THIS ACTION QUALIFIES AS CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION(S) IN APPENDIX B TO PART 651 SECTION II PER PART II 32 CFR PART 651 (AR 200-2), AND MEETS THE SCREENING CRITERIA IN SECTION 651.29 (a) THROUGH (e).



9. CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION:



10. HOW THIS REC MEETS THE SCREENING CRITERIA:

11. THIS DOCUMENT **DOES NOT** RELIEVE THE PROPONENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSID CONTROL NUMBER: REC	DERATION (CONTINUED) 04
PROPONENT:	
(Signature)	Date:
(Title)	(Office Symbol)
Prepared by	Date:
CONCURRENCES:	
RSA Environmental Office Review	
	Date:
Other Environmental Review	
CAROLENE WU, AMSAM-RA-DES-NR	Date:
NEPA Coordinator	

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONCONTROL NUMBER: REC	
CONCURRENCES:	
DANIEL J. DUNN, Chief Natural Resources Division	Date:
TERRY DE LA PAZ, Chief Installation Restoration Division	Date:
CRAIG T. NORTHRIDGE, Chief Installation Compliance Division	
JOHN I. BLANDAMER, Acting Chief Installation Safety Division	Date:
APPROVED BY:	
TERRY W. HAZLE Director, Directorate of Environment and Safety	Date:

AMSAM-RA-DES

RECORD	OF	ENVIRO	ONMENTAL	CONSIDERATION	(CONTINUED)
CONTROL	J NI	JMBER:	REC	-04	



ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS CHECKLIST

	1. DOES PROPOSAL CONFORM WITH INSTALLATION MASTER PLAN?	Yes _	_No
	2. WOULD THE PROPOSED PROJECT ALTER LAND USE ON THE INSTALLATION?	Yes_	_No
<u></u>	3. DESCRIBE PROJECT ACTIVITIES THAT COULD <u>POSSIBLY</u> AFFECT T ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES AND THE QUAL LAND AND WATER ON REDSTONE ARSENAL (RSA), E.G., CLEARING, I LEVELING. THESE ACTIONS MUST BE COORDINATED WITH THE RSA ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE.	ITIES C DIGGIN	
	4. PRIOR USE AND CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY AND/OR EQUIPMENT INVOLVED:	NT	
	5. PROPOSED USE OF THE PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT, AND/OR COMPLET PROJECT:	TED	
	6. AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DURING IMPLEM (e.g., construction phase, equipment placement/replacement phase, etc.) of proportiemprovement, 2=no change, 3=minor adverse impact, 4=moderate adverse impact:	sed action	on.
	a. Potential to cause air pollution.	1 2 3 4	1 5
	b. Potential to cause water pollution.	1 2 3 4	1 5
	c. Potential to impact on the quality or quantity of groundwater.	1 2 3 4	1 5
	d. Potential to affect wetlands, floodplain, wild and scenic rivers.	1 2 3 4	1 5
	e. Potential for discharge or release of hazardous substance.	1 2 3 4	1.5

RECORD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION (CONTINUED) CONTROL NUMBER: REC04	
f. Potential to cause soil contamination.	1 2 3 4 5
g. Potential to violate a safety, public health, or noise standard.	1 2 3 4 5
h. Potential to impact on protected species or their habitat.	1 2 3 4 5
 Potential to affect cultural resources that are either on or eligible for the National Register, or unstudied. 	1 2 3 4 5
j. Potential effects upon labor force.	1 2 3 4 5
k. Potential to impact upon recreational areas and/or prime farmland.	1 2 3 4 5
1. Potential to affect energy demand.	1 2 3 4 5
m. Potential environmental controversy involved with project:	
(1) Local	Yes No
(2) National	Yes No
n. Potential to violate Federal, State, or local law/regulation designed to control air pollution.	Yes No
	Yes No Yes No
to control air pollution. o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed	
to control air pollution.o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution.	Yes No Yes No ERATION PHASE
 to control air pollution. o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution. p. Potential involvement with contaminated areas and/or material. 7. AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DURING OP OF PROPOSED ACTION. 1=improvement, 2=no change, 3=minor adverse 	Yes No Yes No ERATION PHASE
 to control air pollution. o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution. p. Potential involvement with contaminated areas and/or material. 7. AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DURING OP OF PROPOSED ACTION. 1=improvement, 2=no change, 3=minor adverse adverse impact, 5=major adverse impact: 	Yes No Yes No ERATION PHASE impact, 4=moderate
 to control air pollution. o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution. p. Potential involvement with contaminated areas and/or material. 7. AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DURING OP OF PROPOSED ACTION. 1=improvement, 2=no change, 3=minor adverse adverse impact, 5=major adverse impact: a. Potential to cause air pollution. 	Yes No Yes No ERATION PHASE e impact, 4=moderate
to control air pollution. o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution. p. Potential involvement with contaminated areas and/or material. 7. AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DURING OP OF PROPOSED ACTION. 1=improvement, 2=no change, 3=minor adverse adverse impact, 5=major adverse impact: a. Potential to cause air pollution. b. Potential to cause water pollution.	Yes No Yes No Yes No ERATION PHASE e impact, 4=moderate 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
 to control air pollution. o. Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution. p. Potential involvement with contaminated areas and/or material. 7. AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DURING OP OF PROPOSED ACTION. 1=improvement, 2=no change, 3=minor adverse adverse impact, 5=major adverse impact: a. Potential to cause air pollution. b. Potential to cause water pollution. c. Potential to impact on the quality or quantity of groundwater. 	Yes No Yes No Yes No ERATION PHASE e impact, 4=moderate 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5

	RD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION (CONTINUED) ROL NUMBER: REC04		
g.	Potential to violate a safety, public health, or noise standard.	1 2 3 4	4 5
h.	Potential to impact on protected species or their habitat.	1 2 3 4	4 5
i.	Potential to affect cultural resources that are either on or eligible for the National Register, or unstudied.	1 2 3 4	4 5
j.	Potential effects upon labor force.	1 2 3 4	4 5
k.	Potential to impact upon recreational areas and/or prime farmland.	1 2 3 4	4 5
1.	Potential to affect energy demand.	1 2 3 4	4 5
m.	Potential environmental controversy involved with project:		
	(1) Local	Yes _	_No
	(2) National	Yes_	_ No
n.	Potential to violate Federal, State, or local law/regulation designed to control air pollution.	Yes_	_No
0.	Potential to violate Federal, State or local law/regulation designed to control water pollution.	Yes_	_No
p.	Potential involvement with contaminated areas and/or material.	Yes _	_No

8. PLANNED MITIGATION OF ADVERSE IMPACT: